

Electronically Received 06/28/2023 03:53 PM

**FILED**  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles

06/30/2023

David W. Slayton, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By:           T. Lewis           Deputy

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

Coordination Proceeding Special  
Title (Rule 3.550)

Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No.  
5017

**PACIFIC BELL WAGE AND HOUR CASES**

**CLASS & REPRESENTATIVE ACTION**

Included actions:

*Leggins, et al. v. Pacific Bell Telephone  
Company*, Los Angeles County Superior Court  
Case No. BC587252

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER ON EX PARTE  
APPLICATION TO AMEND ORDER  
GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF  
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

*Herrera v. Pacific Bell Telephone Company, et  
al.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No.  
RG18928072

Date: June 30, 2023  
Time: 08:30 AM  
Dept.: 11  
Judge: Hon. David S. Cunningham

*Hernandez v. Pacific Bell Telephone Company*,  
Riverside County Superior Court Case No.  
RIC1901671

Action  
Coordinated: June 19, 2019  
Trial Date: Not set

1 On June 30, 2023, the Ex Parte Application to Amend Order Granting Preliminary  
2 Approval of Class Action Settlement came on for hearing before this Court.

3 The Court, having fully considered all of the papers submitted in support of the Ex Parte  
4 Application to Amend the Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and  
5 Opposition thereto, any oral arguments of counsel and the entire record in this action, and this matter  
6 having been duly heard, finds as follows:

7 The Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement contained a scrivener's  
8 error and shall be revised to the following:


9

10 Paragraph	Original Language	Amended Language
11 1	12 This Order shall incorporate by reference 13 the Settlement Agreement. To the extent 14 the terms are defined in the Settlement 15 Agreement, all defined terms contained 16 herein shall have the same meaning as set 17 forth in the Settlement Agreement.	18 This Order shall incorporate by reference 19 the Settlement Agreement and <b>the</b> 20 <b>Parties' Amendment thereto</b> . To the 21 extent the terms are defined in the 22 Settlement Agreement <b>and/or the Parties'</b> 23 <b>Amendment</b> , all defined terms contained 24 herein shall have the same meaning as 25 set forth in the Settlement Agreement 26 <b>and/or the Parties' Amendment</b> .
17 5	18 The Court provisionally certifies, for 19 settlement purposes only, the Settlement 20 Class defined as follows: 21 All persons employed by 22 Defendants in California and who 23 worked in a Technician job title for 24 Defendants during the Class 25 Period— from July 7, 2011 through 26 the date the Court grants 27 preliminary approval of this 28 Settlement—excluding persons who worked as Premises Technicians at any point from July 7, 2011, through March 8, 2019, but only during the time in which they were performing such work.	18 The Court provisionally certifies, for 19 settlement purposes only, the Settlement 20 Class defined as follows: 21 All persons employed by 22 Defendants in California and who 23 worked in a Technician job title 24 for Defendants during the Class 25 Period— from July 7, 2011 26 through the date the Court grants 27 preliminary approval of this 28 Settlement—excluding persons who worked as Premises Technicians at any point from <b>October 24, 2012</b> through March 8, 2019, but only during the time in which they were performing such work.

1  
2 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS that the Ex Parte Application to Amend Order  
3 Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement is GRANTED

4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5  
6 DATED: 06/30/2023, ~~2023~~

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. David S. Cunningham